

Contribution

- A differentiable sampling method as an alternative to the prevalent *bilinear* sampling, which is the default option for the spatial transformer (STN), and all works based on STN.
- Sampling methods have significant impact on gradient-based optimization, but have largely been overlooked.
- Our sampling method improves bilinear STN on *multiple* experiments, and is also *compatible* with many other improvements as it only touches the sampler.

The pitfalls of sampling

- Bilinear sampling works fine if the output is at the same resolution as the input. However, when severe down sapling is applied, the gradients are no longer representative.
- The gradients will only back propagate through the nearest 4 **pixels** in the original input, and the gradients with respect to the warping parameters would only come from those, as shown below.



Input image (zoom in)

Try our sampling method – PyTorch:

- Code is available at: https://tinyurl.com/linearized
- The function signature is the same as the one in PyTorch: def grid_sample(input,grid,mode='linearized',padding_mode='zeros')
- We provide a <u>single self-contained python file</u> which only depends on PyTorch, you can copy and paste into your own project!

UVIC Google Linearized Multi-Sampling for Differentiable Image Transformation

Wei Jiang¹, Weiwei Sun¹, Andrea Tagliasacchi^{1,2}, Eduard Trulls², Kwang Moo Yi¹

Technical details



- 3.

$$\hat{\mathbf{I}}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{I}(T_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_i)) + \mathbf{A}_i(\mathbf{x}_i)$$
proximation at x_i Intensity at

Solve for matrix A. 5.

Linear a



Qualitative Results

Gradient direction:

We translate the dog image to different locations and ask the optimizer to drag the translated back to the center using pixellevel L2 loss. The ideal gradient directions should always point towards the center.



¹University of Victoria ²Google Research

Linear approximation coefficients

Quantitative Results

Image alignment:





We try to find the the warping parameters of a transformation given source and target image. We directly optimize the warping parameters by minimizing the L2 loss between the target and current output. Our method improves recall by a large margin comparing to bilinear sampling.

Traffic sign classification:

We train a STN to traffic sign using c sampling methods sampling method the performance a downsampling rat

We visualize the o bilinear and our sa method of a traine 8X down sample method tends to a more, thus increase accuracy of the cl

https://tinyurl.com/linearized



Downsampling rate	1x	$2\mathbf{x}$	4x	8x
# classif. network param.	966k	246k	61k	19k
Baseline w/o STN	12.37	12.88	20.85	45.88
STN + Bilinear	6.29	6.50	7.95	15.31
STN + Multi-scale	6.83	6.70	8.30	15.00
STN + Ours	6.08	6.48	7.13	10.89
ICSTN + Bilinear	5.68	5.00	6.52	9.80
ICSTN + Multi-scale	5.40	5.95	6.06	10.19
ICSTN + Ours	4.85	4.68	4.86	6.10
Ours Bilinear				
	Downsampling rate # classif. network param. Baseline w/o STN STN + Bilinear STN + Multi-scale STN + Ours ICSTN + Bilinear ICSTN + Multi-scale ICSTN + Ours	Downsampling rate # classif. network param.1x 966kBaseline w/o STN12.37STN + Bilinear STN + Multi-scale6.29STN + Ours6.08ICSTN + Bilinear ICSTN + Multi-scale5.68ICSTN + Multi-scale5.40ICSTN + Ours4.85	Downsampling rate 1x 2x # classif. network param. 966k 246k Baseline w/o STN 12.37 12.88 STN + Bilinear 6.29 6.50 STN + Multi-scale 6.83 6.70 STN + Ours 6.08 6.48 ICSTN + Bilinear 5.68 5.00 ICSTN + Bilinear 5.68 5.00 ICSTN + Multi-scale 5.40 5.95 ICSTN + Ours 4.85 4.68 IQ IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO IO I	Downsampling rate 1x 2x 4x # classif. network param. 966k 246k 61k Baseline w/o STN 12.37 12.88 20.85 STN + Bilinear 6.29 6.50 7.95 STN + Multi-scale 6.83 6.70 8.30 STN + Ours 6.08 6.48 7.13 ICSTN + Bilinear 5.68 5.00 6.52 ICSTN + Multi-scale 5.40 5.95 6.06 ICSTN + Ours 4.85 4.68 4.86